

Probability Theory

- If X is an integer valued non-negative random variable then $E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X > k)$.

Properties of the expected value and variance

- If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables and f_1, \dots, f_n are bounded functions, then $E[\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_i)] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[f_i(X_i)]$

Generating Functions

- If $\{p_k : k \geq 0\}$ then $P(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k = E[s^X]$. If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = 1$ then $P(1) = 1$.
- $P(X + Y = n) = \sum_{k=0}^n P(X = k, Y = n - k) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$
- Let X have a probability mass function with $p_k = P(X = k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = 1$. Let $q_k = P(X > k)$ and define $Q(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k s^k$. Then $Q(s) = \frac{1-P(s)}{1-s}, \forall s \in (0, 1)$.
- By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} Q(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k s^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} q_k s^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k = E[X]$
- By direct evaluation,

$$\frac{d}{ds} P(s) \Big|_{s=1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_k = E[X]$$

$$\frac{d^n}{ds^n} P(s) \Big|_{s=1} = E[X(X-1)\dots(X-n+1)]$$

- Note that $Var(X) = P''(1) + P'(1) - (P'(1))^2$.
- $P_{X_1+X_2}(s) = E[s^{X_1+X_2}] = E[s^{X_1}]E[s^{X_2}] = P_{X_1}(s)P_{X_2}(s)$
- (2) If $\{a_j\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ are two sequences with generating functions $A(s), B(s)$ then the generating functions of $\{a_n\} * \{b_n\}$ is $A(s)B(s)$.
- (Wald's identity) Note that $E[s_{N}] = \frac{d}{ds} P_N(P_{X_1}(s)) \Big|_{s=1} = E[N]E[X_1]$
- Define $P_n(s) = E(s^{Z_n})$ for the branching process $\{Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} Z_{n,i}\}$. Then $P_n(s) = P_{n-1}(P(s)) = P(P_{n-1}(s))$.
 - If $m = E[Z_1] < 1$ then $\Pi = 1$. If $m > 1$ then $\Pi < 1$ and is the unique non-negative solution to the equation $s = P(s)$ which is less than 1. Π is the extinction probability.

Continuity Theorem

- Suppose for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ $\{p_k^{(n)} : k \geq 0\}$ is a probability mass function $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ so that $p_k^{(n)} \geq 0, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^{(n)} = 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{p_k^{(0)} : k \geq 0\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_k^{(n)} = p_k^{(0)}$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$ if and only if there exists a function $P_0(s), 0 < s < 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(s) = P_0(s)$.

Discrete Time Markov Chains

- We call the equation $p_{ij}^{(n+m)} = \sum_k p_{ik}^{(n)} p_{kj}^{(m)}$ the **Chapman-Kolmogorov equation**.
- $\tau_B = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in B\}$ which we call the hitting time of B . We use $\tau_j = \tau_{\{j\}}$.
- For $i, j \in S$ we say state j is **accessible** from state i if $P(\tau_j < \infty | X_0 = i) > 0$ and we denote it as $i \rightarrow j$. Obviously $i \rightarrow i$.
- For $i \neq j$ we have $i \rightarrow j$ if and only if there exists $n > 0$ such that $p_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$. That is, $P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) > 0$.
- A Markov chain is **irreducible** if the state space consists of only one equivalence class. This means that $i \leftrightarrow j$ for all $i, j \in S$.
- A set of states $C \subset S$ is **closed** if for any $i \in C$ we have $P(\tau_{C^c} = \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$. If a singleton is closed then it is called an **absorbing state**.
 - (i) C is closed if and only if for all $i \in C$ and $j \in C^c$ we have $p_{ij} = 0$.
 - (ii) j is absorbing if and only if $p_{jj} = 1$.
- State i is **recurrent** if $P(\tau_i(1) < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$
 - A recurrent state is **positive recurrent** if $E[\tau_i(1) | X_0 = i] < \infty$.
 - Otherwise if $E[\tau_i(1) | X_0 = i] = \infty$ then a recurrent state is **null recurrent**.
- State i is **transient** if $P(\tau_i(1) < \infty | X_0 = i) < 1 \implies P(\tau_i(1) = \infty | X_0 = i) > 0$
- We have for $i, j \in S$ and non-negative integer k we have $P(N_j = k | X_0 = i) = \begin{cases} 1 - f_{ii} & k = 0 \\ f_{ij} f_{jj}^{k-1} (1 - f_{jj}) & k \geq 1 \end{cases}$
- If j is transient, then for all states i we have $P(N_j < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$ and $E[N_j | X_0 = i] = f_{ij} / (1 - f_{jj})$ and $P(N_j = k | X_0 = j) = (1 - f_{jj}) f_{jj}^k$.
 - This implies that $\sum_n p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty$
- If j is recurrent then $P(N_j = \infty | X_0 = j) = 1$.
 - This implies that $\sum_n p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$
- For $n \geq 1$ define:
 - $f_{jk}^{(0)} = 0, f_{jk}^{(n)} = P(\tau_k(1) = n | X_0 = j), f_{jk} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{jk}^{(n)} = P(\tau_k(1) < \infty | X_0 = j)$
 - Therefore, a state i is recurrent if and only if $f_{ii} = 1$ and a recurrent state i is positive recurrent if and only if $E[\tau_i(1) | X_0 = i] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty$
- Define $F_{ij}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n f_{ij}^{(n)}$ and $P_{ij}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n p_{ij}^{(n)}$
 - a) We have for $i \in S, p_{ii}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_{ii}^{(k)} p_{ii}^{(n-k)}, \forall n \geq 1$ and for $0 < s < 1$ we have $P_{ii}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - F_{ii}(s)}$.

- b) We have for $i \neq j$, $P_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_{ij}^{(k)} p_{jj}^{(n-k)}$, $\forall n \geq 0$ and for $0 < s < 1$ we have $P_{ij}(s) = F_{ij}(s)P_{jj}(s)$.
- A state i is **recurrent** if and only if $f_{ii} = 1$ if and only if $P_{ii}(1) = \sum p_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty$. Thus i is **transient** if and only if $f_{ii} < 1$ if and only if $\sum p_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty$.
 - If i is **transient**, it also means $\sum p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty$
- Define the column vector $f^{(n)} = (f_{1j}^{(n)}, f_{2j}^{(n)}, \dots, f_{ij}^{(n)}, \dots, f_{|S|j}^{(n)})^T$ and the matrix $(j)P$ as the P matrix with the j^{th} column replaced by a column of zeroes. Then we can write $f^{(n)} = (j)P f^{(n-1)} = (j)P^{(n-1)} f^{(1)}$.
- **Recurrence[1], transience[2], and periodicity[3] are equivalence class properties.**
- The state space S of a Markov chain can be decomposed as $S = T \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$ where T consists of transient states (not necessarily in one class) and C_1, C_2, \dots are closed disjoint classes of recurrent states.
- If S is finite, not all states can be transient.
- If $P = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $u_{ik} = P(X_\tau = k | X_0 = i)$

- Then $U = (I - Q)^{-1}R$, $(I - Q)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n$
- $(I - Q)_{ij}^{-1} = E[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1(X_n = j) | X_0 = i]$

Stationary Distributions

- A stochastic process $\{Y_n : n \geq 0\}$ is **stationary** if of integers $m \geq 0$ and $k > 0$ we have $(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \stackrel{d}{=} (Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_{m+k})$
- Let $\pi = \{\pi_j : j \in S\}$ be a probability distribution. It is called a **stationary distribution** for the Markov chain with transition matrix P if $\pi^T = \pi^T P$, $\pi_j = \sum_{k \in S} \pi_k P_{kj}$, $\forall j \in S$
- Let $i \in S$ be recurrent and define for $j \in S$

$$\nu_j = E \left[\sum_{0 \leq n \leq \tau_i(1)-1} 1(X_n = j) | X_0 = i \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X_n = j, \tau_i(1) > n | X_0 = i)$$

- Then ν is an invariant measure.
- If i is positive recurrent, then $\pi_j = \frac{\nu_j}{E[\tau_i(1) | X_0 = i]}$ is a stationary distribution.

- If the Markov chain is **irreducible and recurrent**, then an **invariant measure ν exists** and satisfies $0 < \nu_j < \infty, \forall j \in S$ and ν is unique up to a constant. If $\nu_1^T = \nu_1^T P$ and $\nu_2^T = \nu_2^T P$ then $\nu_1 = c\nu_2$.

- Furthermore, if the Markov chain is **positive recurrent and irreducible**, there exists a **unique stationary distribution π** where $\pi_j = \frac{1}{E[\tau_j(1) | X_0 = j]}$.

- Suppose $\{Y_n\}$ is a sequence of iid r.v.s with $E(|Y_i|) < \infty$. Then, $P \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} = E[Y_1] \right) = 1$
- Suppose the Markov chain is **irreducible and positive recurrent**, and let π be the **unique stationary distribution**. Then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^N f(X_n)}{N} = \sum_{j \in S} f(j)\pi_j$, a.s.

- Note that if $f(k) = 1(k = i)$ then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^N f(X_n)}{N} = \pi_i$$

- If f is bounded then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^N E[f(X_n) | X_0 = i]}{N} = \sum_{j \in S} f(j)\pi_j$

- A **limit distribution is a stationary distribution**.
- Suppose the Markov chain is **irreducible and aperiodic** and that a **stationary distribution π exists** with $\pi^T = \pi^T P$ and $\sum_{j \in S} \pi_j = 1$ with $\pi_j \geq 0$. Then:
 - (1) The Markov chain is **positive recurrent**
 - (2) π is a limit distribution with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j, \forall i, j \in S$
 - (3) For all $j \in S, \pi_j > 0$
 - (4) **The stationary distribution is unique**

• **REMARK:** If **irreducible** then **stationary distribution exists** if and only if it is **positive recurrent**

- Let the chain be **irreducible and aperiodic**. Then for $i, j \in S$ there exists $n_0(i, j)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0(i, j)$ we have $p_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$.

- If a Markov chain is **null recurrent**, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$.

• **REMARK:** Assume that a Markov chain is **irreducible and aperiodic**. A **stationary distribution exists** if and only if the chain is **positive recurrent** if and only if a **limit distribution (defined through $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n$) exists**.

- If the chain is **irreducible and periodic**, existence of a stationary distribution is **equivalent to positive recurrent states**.

- If the Markov chain is **irreducible and aperiodic** and **either null recurrent or transient**, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0, \text{ for all } i, j \in S$$

We can conclude that in a **finite state irreducible Markov chain, no state can be null recurrent**.

Renewal Theory

- (Cauchy product) $(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i) (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k a_l b_{k-l}$
- If $F(\infty) = 1$ then the process is called a **proper renewal process**. If $F(\infty) < 1$ then the process is called **terminating or transient**.
- **Wald's Lemma:** $E[S_{N(t)}] = E[N(t)]E[Y_1]$
- $F * g(t) = \int_0^t g(t-x)F(dx)$, for $t \geq 0$
- $\hat{F}(\lambda) = E[e^{-\lambda X}] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} F(dx)$, $\lambda \geq 0$
 - The Laplace transform uniquely determines the distribution function
 - $(F_1 * \widehat{F_2})(\lambda) = \hat{F}_1(\lambda)\hat{F}_2(\lambda)$
 - $E[X] = -\hat{F}'(\lambda)$, $E[X^2] = \hat{F}''(0)$
 - $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} F(x)dx = \frac{1}{\lambda}\hat{F}(\lambda)$
- **Binomial distribution**
 - $f(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$, $\mu = np$, $\sigma = np(1-p)$
 - $P(s) = (1-p+ps)^n$
 - Bernoulli is the case of $n = 1$ for above
- **Poisson distribution**
 - $f(x) = \lambda^x e^{-\lambda} / x!$, $P(s) = e^{\lambda(s-1)}$, $s > 0$
- **Geometric distribution**
 - $f(x) = 1 - q^{x+1}$, $F(x) = pq^x$, $\mu = q/p$, $\sigma^2 = q/p^2$
 - $P(s) = p/(1-qs)$, $s < 1/q$
- **Erlang distribution**
 - $g(x) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha x)^n e^{-\alpha x}}{n!} 1_{[0, \infty)}(x)$, $G(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{e^{-\alpha x} (\alpha x)^n}{n!}$
 - $\hat{G}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right)^{n+1} \implies G(x) = F^{(n+1)*}(x)$
* $F(x)$ is the exponential distribution
- **Exponential distribution**
 - $f(x) = \alpha e^{-\alpha x}$, $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\alpha x}$
 - $\hat{F}(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda + \alpha}$, $U(t) = 1 + \alpha t$ (point mass at $t = 0$)
 - $\mu = 1/\alpha$, $\sigma^2 = 1/\alpha^2$
- **Uniform distribution**
 - $f(x) = I\{x \in [a, b]\} / (b-a)$, $F(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$
 - $\hat{F}(\lambda) = \frac{1-e^{-\lambda(b-a)}}{\lambda(b-a)}$, $U(t) = e^t$, $\mu = (a+b)/2$, $\sigma^2 = (b-a)^2/12$
- Suppose that $\mu = E[Y_1] = \int_0^{\infty} xF(dx) < \infty$.
 - If $P(Y_0 < \infty) = 1$ then as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have $N(t)/t \rightarrow 1/\mu$ almost surely.

- Suppose that $\sigma^2 = Var(Y_1) < \infty$. Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $N(t)$ has a normal distribution with mean t/μ and variance $t\sigma^2/\mu^3$
- **(Elementary Renewal Theorem)** Let $\mu = E[Y_1] < \infty$ and $P(Y_0 < \infty) = 1$. Then, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu}$.
- Suppose we have a renewal sequence $\{S_n\}$ and suppose that at each epoch S_n we receive a **random reward** R_n . Suppose that $\{R_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s and define $R(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i 1(S_i \leq t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)-1} R_i$.
 - If $E[|R_j|] < \infty$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots$ and $E[Y_1] < \infty$ with $P(Y_0 < \infty) = 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(t)}{t} = \frac{E[R_1]}{\mu}$.
- **(Renewal Equation)** $Z = z + F * Z \implies Z(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t Z(t-s)F(ds)$
 - $U(t) = F^{0*}(t) + F * U(t)$ where $F^{0*}(x) = 1_{[0, \infty)}(x)$
 - A locally bounded **solution of the renewal equation** is $U * z(t) = \int_0^t z(t-s)U(ds)$.
 - $E[A(t)] = t[1 - F(t)] + \int_0^t E[A(t-s)]F(ds)$
 - $E[B(t)] = \int_t^{\infty} (s-t)F(ds) + \int_0^t E[B(t-s)]F(ds)$
 - $E[A_D(t)] = t\bar{G}(t) + \int_0^t (t-s)\bar{F}(t-s)dG(s) + \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} (t-s-x)\bar{F}(t-s-x)dU(x) dG(s)$
 - $E[B_D(t)] = \int_t^{\infty} (x-t)dG(x) + \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{\infty} (x-t+s)dF(x) dV(x)$
 - $P(A(t) \leq x) = 1_{[0, x]}(t)[1-F(t)] + P(A(t) \leq x) * F(t)$
 - $P(B(t) > x) = [1 - F(t+x)] + P(B(t) > x) * F(t)$
 - $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(A(t) \leq x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (1 - F(s)) ds = F_0(x)$
 - $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(B(t) > x) = 1 - F_0(x)$
 - Use the notation $U(t) = m(t)$, $V(t) = m_D(t)$
- **(Blackwell's Theorem)** If $V(t, t+a) = E[N(t+u)] - E[N(t)]$ then $\frac{V(t, t+a)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{a}{\mu}$.
- **(Key Renewal Theorem)** Suppose $z(t)$ is directly Riemann integrable. We have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z * U(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} z(s) ds$.
- **(Direct Riemann Integrability)**
 - If z has a compact support then Riemann integrability is the same as direct Riemann integrability.
 - If z is directly Riemann integrable then it is Riemann integrable.
 - If $z \geq 0$ and z is non-increasing then z is directly Riemann integrable if and only if it is Riemann integrable.
 - If z is Riemann integrable on $[0, a]$ for all $a > 0$ and $\sigma(1) < \infty$ then z is directly Riemann integrable.
 - If z is Riemann integrable on $[0, \infty)$ and $z \leq g$ where g is directly Riemann integrable then z is directly Riemann integrable.

- The following are equivalent:
 - (i) The Blackwell Theorem
 - (ii) The Key Renewal Theorem

Regenerative Process

- Suppose $\{X(t)\}$ is a **regenerative process** with state space E . For fixed A , assume that $K(t, A)$ is Riemann integrable. Set $\mu \in E[S_1]$ and $S_0 = 0$.

- $Z(t) = P(X(t) \in A) = K(t, A) + \int_0^t Z(t-s)F(ds)$

- (Smith's Theorem)

* a) If $\mu < \infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X(t) \in A) = \frac{E[\text{time spent in } A \text{ in a cycle}]}{E[\text{cycle length}]}$

* b) If $\mu = \infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X(t) \in A) = 0$.

- For **alternating renewal processes**, Z_i for on time, Y_i for off time :

- $X(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the system is on at time } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X(t) = 1) = \frac{E[Z_1]}{E[Z_1] + E[Y_1]}$

Poisson Process

- **Distribution analysis**

- (Law of Small Numbers) If $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow 0$ in such a way that $np \rightarrow \alpha$, then $Bin(n, p) \rightarrow Pois(\alpha)$.

- Let $T_n \sim Geo(p_n)$ where $P(T_n > k) = (1 - p_n)^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. If $np_n \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $T_n/n \xrightarrow{D} exp(\alpha)$

- Suppose that $N \sim Pois(\alpha)$ and X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. *Bernoulli*(p) independent of N . Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then, $S_N \sim Pois(\alpha p)$.

- If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are respectively i.i.d. $exp(\lambda_1), exp(\lambda_2), \dots, exp(\lambda_n)$ then

* $P(\max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \leq k) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq k) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$

* $P(\min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \geq k) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \geq k)$

- A **point process** on the timeline $[0, \infty)$ is a mapping $J \mapsto N_j = N(j)$ that assigns to each subset $J \subset [0, \infty)$ a non-negative integer value random variable N_j in such a way that if J_1, J_2, \dots are pairwise disjoint then $N(\cup_i J_i) = \sum_i N(J_i)$. We will interchangeably use $N(t) = N([0, t])$.

- A **Poisson point process** of intensity $\alpha > 0$ is a point process $N(J)$ with the following properties:

- a) If J_1, J_2, \dots are non-overlapping intervals of $[0, \infty)$ then the random variables $N(J_1), N(J_2), \dots$ are mutually independent. (**Independent Increments**)

- b) For every interval J , we have $P(N(J) = k) = \frac{e^{-\alpha|J|}(\alpha|J|)^k}{k!}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ where $|J|$ is the length of the interval J .

- If $N(t)$ is a Poisson process with rate 1 then $N(\lambda t)$ is a Poisson with rate λ .

- (**Generalized Thinning Theorem**) Suppose N is a Poisson random variable with parameter α and the X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. multinomial random variables with parameters (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) . That is, $P(X_i = k) = p_k$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the random variables N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m defined as $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N 1\{X_i = k\}$ are i.i.d. Poisson random variables with $E[N_k] = \alpha p_k$.

- Define $0 = S_0 \leq S_1 \leq S_2 \leq \dots$ as the **successive times** that the process $N(t)$ has jumps. Define the interarrival times as $Y_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$.

- (a) The interarrival times Y_1, Y_2, \dots of a Poisson process with rate α are i.i.d. $exp(\alpha)$.

- (b) Conversely let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. $exp(\alpha)$ and define $N(t) = \max\{n : \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq t\}$. Then $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate α .

- The (stationary) counting process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is said to be a **Poisson process** with intensity $\alpha > 0$ if:

- (i) the process has **independent increments**

- (ii) $P(N(h) = 1) = \alpha h + o(h)$

- (iii) $P(N(h) \geq 2) = o(h)$

* Recall that a function f is $o(h)$ if $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (f(h)/h) = 0$.

- For each $m \geq 1$, let $\{X_r^m : r \in N/m\}$ be a **Bernoulli process** indexed by the integer multiples of $1/m$ with probability of success p_m . Let $\{N^m(t)\}$ be the corresponding counting process that is $N^m(t) = \sum_{r \leq t} X_r^m$ if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m p_m = \alpha > 0$. Then for any finite set of points $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$

$(N^m(t_1), N^m(t_2), \dots, N^m(t_n)) \xrightarrow{D} (N(t_1), N(t_2), \dots, N(t_n))$

- Given that $N[0, 1] = k$, the k points are uniformly distributed on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, that is for any partition J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m of $[0, 1]$ into non-overlapping intervals $P(N(J_i) = k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m | N[0, 1] = k)$ is equal to $\frac{k!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_m!} \prod_{i=1}^m |J_i|^{k_i}$ for all non-negative integers k_1, \dots, k_m with $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i = k$.

- Let S_1, S_2, \dots be the arrival times of a Poisson process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with rate α . Then conditional on the event that $N[0, t] = k$, the variables S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k are distributed in the same manner as the order statistics of i.i.d. uniform $[0, t]$ random variables.

- If $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ represent the type I and type II events, respectively by time t , then $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent Poisson random variables with intensities $\lambda_1 = \alpha \int_0^t p(s) ds$ and $\lambda_2 = \alpha \int_0^t (1 - p(s)) ds$.