# PMATH 351 Final Exam Review

IAT<sub>E</sub>Xer: W. Kong

#### **Theorems and Statements**

**Proposition 0.1.** [Statement] The uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions  $\{f_n : (X, d_X) \mapsto (Y, d_Y)\}$  is continuous.

Summary. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge uniformly if there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $p, q \geq N$ , we have that  $d_Y(f_p(x), f_q(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 0.2.**  $C_b(X)$  is complete.

Proof. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset C_b(X)$  be Cauchy. If  $x \in X$ , then  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq ||f_n - f_m||_{\infty}$ . Hence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $f_0(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x), \forall x \in X$ . We claim that  $f_0 \in C_b(X)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n, m \geq N_0 \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for any  $x \in X$ . Let  $n \geq N_0$  and  $x \in X$ . Then let (\*) be the statement that

$$|f_n(x) - f_0(x)| = \lim_{m \to \infty} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon.$$

Hence,  $f_n \to f_0$  uniformly on  $X \implies f_0$  is continuous. Since  $\{f_n\}$  is Cauchy,  $\exists M \ge 0$  such that  $||f_n(x)||_{\infty} < M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$|f_0(x)| \le |f_0(x) - f_{N_0}(x)| + |f_{N_0}(x)| < \epsilon + M \implies f_0 \in C_b(x)$$

By (\*), if  $n \ge N_0$  then  $|f_n(x) - f_0(x)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in X \implies ||f_n - f_0|| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon \implies f_n \to f_0$  in  $|| \cdot ||$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 0.1.** [Statement] (Generalized Weierstrass M-Test) Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space (n.l.s.). Then TFAE:

1) X is a Banach space.

2) X satisfies 
$$(*) \to If \{x_n\} \subset X$$
 is such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n|| < \infty$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges in X.

Summary. A Banach space is a normed linear space that is complete under its norm.

**Theorem 0.2.** (Baire Category Theorem I) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If  $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of open dense subsets of X, then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  is also dense in X.

Proof. Let W be open and non-empty. then  $W \cap U_1$  is open and non-empty. So  $\exists x_1 \in X$  and  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$  with  $B(x_1, r_1) \subset B[x_1, r_1] \subset W \cap U_1$ . We can also find  $x_2 \in X$  with  $r_2 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $B(x_2, r_2) \subset B[x_2, r_2] \subset W \cap U_2$ . We proceed inductively to get  $\{x_n\} \in X$ ,  $\{r_n\}$  with  $r_n \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$  such that  $B[x_{n+1}, r_{n+1}] \subset B(x_n, r_n) \subset B[x_n, r_n] \subset W \cap U_n$ . Let  $F_n = B[x_n, r_n]$ . Then  $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$  and  $diam(F_n) \leq \frac{2}{n} \to 0$ . By the Cantor Intersection Theorem,  $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B[x_n, r_n] \Longrightarrow x_0 \in B[x_1, r_1] \subset W \Longrightarrow x_0 \in W$ . Moreover  $x_0 \in B[x_n, r_n] \subset U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $x_0 \in W \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_n)$ .

**Theorem 0.3.** (Baire Category Theorem II) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.. Then X is of  $2^{nd}$  category itself.

*Proof.* Assume that X was of 1<sup>st</sup> category. then  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_n}$  where each  $A_n$  is nowhere dense (the closure argument works because X is the entire set). Let  $U_n = (\overline{A_n})^c$ . Then  $U_n$  is open and nowhere dense in X. However,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = X^c = \emptyset$  which contradicts the Baire Category Theorem I.

**Theorem 0.4.** (Banach Contractive Mapping Theorem) Let (X, d) be a compact metric space (c.m.s.). Let  $\Gamma: X \mapsto X$  be contractive. Then  $\exists$  unique  $x_0 \in X$  with  $\Gamma(x_0) = x_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in X, x_2 \in \Gamma(x_1), ..., x_n = \Gamma(x_n)$ . This gives us a set  $\{x_n\}$  which we claim is Cauchy. Using the recurrence relation  $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) = d(\Gamma(x_{n-1}), \Gamma(x_{n-2})) \leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})$ , it is clear that  $d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_2, x_1)$ . Let m > n > 4. Then

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le \sum_{l=n}^{m-1} d(x_l, x_{l+1}) \le k^{n-1} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{(m-2)-(n-1)} k^l \right) d(x_2, x_1) \le \frac{k^{n-1}}{1-k} d(x_1, x_2)$$

and so given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can choose N large enough so that  $\frac{k^{n-1}}{1-k}d(x_1, x_2) < \epsilon \implies d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and since X is complete,  $x_n \to x_0$ . Since  $d(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$ ,  $\Gamma$  is continuous and so  $\Gamma(x_0) = \Gamma(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = x_0$ .

To show uniqueness, suppose that  $\Gamma(y_0) = y_0$ . Since  $d(y_0, x_0) = d(\Gamma(y_0), \Gamma(x_0)) \le kd(y_0, x_0)$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  then  $d(y_0, x_0) = 0 \implies x_0 = y_0$ .

**Theorem 0.5.** (Arzela-Ascoli  $(1 \implies 2)$ ) Let (X, d) be a c.m.s.. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ . Then TFAE:

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively compact
- 2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous and pointwise bounded

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively compact, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded and clearly pointwise bounded. We show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and note that since  $\mathcal{F}$  is totally bounded, then we can generate an  $\epsilon$ -net  $\{f_1, ..., f_n\}$  which is equicontinuous because it is a finite set. Thus,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for  $x, z \in X$ ,  $d(x, z) < \Longrightarrow |f_i(x) - f_i(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for any i = 1, ..., n. Also  $\exists i_0 \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $||f - f_{i_0}||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Choose such a  $\delta$  and  $i_0$  and let  $x, z \in X$  with  $d(x, z) < \delta$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(z)| &\leq |f(x) - f_{i_0}(x)| + |f_{i_0}(x) - f_{i_0}(z)| + |f_{i_0}(z) - f(z)| \\ &< \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}_{\epsilon-net} + \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}_{cts} + \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}_{\epsilon-net} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 0.6.** (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem) Let  $f \in C[a, b]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists p_n(x)$  such that  $p_n \to f$  uniformly on [a, b].

Proof. First, we may assume that f is defined on [0,1] and that f(0) = f(1) = 0 because if f is not we know that we there transformations that can be made on f such that these properties are true, while preserving continuity. We can extend f into the domain of uniformly continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  by defining f(x) = 0for  $x \notin [0,1]$ . Now for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $Q_n(t) = c_n(1-t^2)^n$  where is  $c_n$  is defined such that  $\int_{-1}^1 Q_n(t) = 1$ . We then note that  $\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n \ge 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} 1 - nx^2 dx = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{n}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  and so  $c_n < \sqrt{n}$ . If  $0 < \delta < 1$  then for  $x \in [-1, \delta] \cup [\delta, 1]$  we have  $c_n(1-x^2) \le \sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n$ . Let

$$p_n(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x+t)Q_n(t) \, dt = \int_{-x}^{1-x} f(x+t)Q_n(t) \, dt = \int_0^1 f(u)Q_n(u-x) \, du$$

where u = x + t. Using the Leibniz rule, we have that

$$\frac{d^{2n+1}}{dx^{2n+1}}p_n(x) = \int_0^1 f(u)\frac{d^{2n+1}}{dx^{2n+1}}Q_n(u-x)\,du = 0$$

and it follows that  $p_n$  is a polynomial of degree 2n+1 or less. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $||f||_{\infty} = M$ , and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|x-y| < \delta \implies |f(x)-f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . It is also the case that  $\int_{-1}^{1} Q_n(t) dt = 1 \implies f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)Q_n(t) dt = 1$ .

Thus, if  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n(x) - f(x)| &\leq \int_{-1}^1 |f(x+t) - f(x)|Q_n(t) \, dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^{-\delta} |f(x+t) - f(x)|Q_n(t) \, dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x+t) - f(x)|Q_n(t) \, dt + \int_{\delta}^1 |f(x+t) - f(x)|Q_n(t) \, dt \\ &< 2M\sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2M\sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 4M\sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we choose n large enough so that  $4M\sqrt{n}(1-\delta^2)^n < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , then  $|p_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $||p_n(x) - f(x)||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 0.7.** [Statement] (Stone-Weierstrass: Lattice) Let (X, d) be a c.m.s. and  $\Phi$  be a linear subspace of C(X) such that

1)  $\Phi$  is point separating

2)  $1 \in \Phi$ 

3) If  $f, g \in \Phi$  then  $f \lor g = \max(f, g) \in \Phi$  (i.e.  $\Phi$  is a lattice)

Then  $\overline{\Phi} = C(X)$ .

**Theorem 0.8.** (Stone-Weierstrass: Subalgebra) Let (X, d) be a c.m.s. and  $\Phi$  be a linear subspace of C(X) such that

1)  $\Phi$  is point separating

2)  $1 \in \Phi$ 

3)  $\Phi$  is an algebra

Then  $\overline{\Phi} = C(X)$ .

Proof. Since  $\Phi$  satisfies 1),2),3) we can assume WLOG that  $\Phi$  is closed. Let  $f \in \Phi$ . Then f is bounded and  $\exists M$  such that  $f(x) \in [-M, M]$  for  $x \in X$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and using the Weierstrass Approximation theorem, create a polynomial  $p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k t^k$  with  $||t| - p(t)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \in [-M, M]$ . Let  $p \circ f = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k f^k$  and note that  $||t| - p(t)| < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall x \in X \implies |f| \in \overline{\Phi} = \Phi$ . Since  $f \lor g = \frac{(f+g)-|f-g|}{2}$  then  $\Phi$  is a lattice and is dense in C(X) by the first Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. But  $\Phi$  is closed and hence  $\Phi = C(X)$ .

#### **Exercises**

**Exercise 0.1.** Show that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Suppose that it is. Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  where each  $U_n$  is open. Since  $\mathbb{Q} \subset U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U_n$  is dense. Let  $F_n = U_n^c$  which is closed and nowhere dense. We also have that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_1, r_2, ...\}$  and  $F'_n = F_n \cup \{r_n\}$ .  $F'_n$  is closed and nowhere dense and  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F'_n$  which implies that  $\mathbb{R}$  is of  $1^{st}$  category which is clearly impossible.

**Exercise 0.2.** Show that (X, d) is a c.m.s. iff whenever  $\Im$  is a family of closed sets with the Finite Intersection Property (FIP), then  $\bigcap_{F \in \Im} F \neq \emptyset$ .

 $(\implies)$  Assume X is compact and take some collection of closed sets  $\Im = \{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  with the FIP. If  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  and  $U_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}^{c}$  then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = X$  so  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a cover. Take a finite cover  $\{U_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$  and note that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F_{i} = \emptyset$  which contradicts the FIP.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose a collection  $\Im$  of closed sets in X with the FIP is that  $\bigcap_{F \in \Im} F \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\Im$ . Suppose that X is not compact. Take an open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  that has no finite subcover and let  $F_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{c}$  and note that  $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  has the FIP. So  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} F_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$  which contradicts the fact that  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a cover.

**Exercise 0.3.** If  $(X, d_1)$  is sequentially compact and  $f : (X, d_1) \to (Y, d_2)$  is continuous, then f(X) is sequentially compact.

Let  $\{y_n\} \subset f(X)$ . Then  $\exists \{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $y_n = f(x_n)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We get a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  with  $x_{n_k} \to x_0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $y_0 = f(x_0) \in f(X)$ . Then  $y_{n_k} = f(x_{n_k}) \to f(x_0) = y_0$ .

**Exercise 0.4.** If  $(X, d_1)$  is compact and  $f: (X, d_1) \to (Y, d_2)$  is continuous, then f(x) is uniformly continuous.

Assume that f is not uniformly continuous. Then  $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$  and two sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\} \subset X$  with  $d_1(x_n, z_n) \to 0$  but  $d_2(f(x_n), f(z_n)) \ge \epsilon_0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since X is sequentially compact,  $\exists \{x_{n_k}\}$  with  $x_{n_k} \to x_0 \in X$ . Similarly,  $z_{n_k} \to z_0 = x_0$ . But then  $f(x_{n_k}) \to f(x_0)$  and  $f(z_{n_k}) \to f(z_0) = f(x_0)$  which is clearly impossible.

**Exercise 0.5.** If (X, d) is compact then it also has the Bolzano-Weierstrass Property.

Let  $A \subset X$  be infinite. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of distinct elements of A. Let  $F_n = \overline{\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots\}}$ . Note that  $F_n$  has the FIP and so  $\exists x_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Hence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x_0, \epsilon) \cap \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots\} \neq \emptyset, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \implies B(x_0, \epsilon_0) \cap A$  is infinite as well with  $x_0 \in Lim(A)$ .

**Exercise 0.6.** If (X, d) is sequentially compact, then it is totally bounded.

Suppose that X is compact by not totally bounded. Then  $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$  with no finite  $\epsilon$ -net. From here we can construct  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $x_i \notin B(x_j, \epsilon_0)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Note that  $d(x_i, x_j) \geq \epsilon_0$  if  $i \neq j$  and so this sequence has no convergent subsequence which is impossible.

**Exercise 0.7.** If (X, d) is sequentially compact, then it is Heine-Borel compact.

Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a cover of X and  $\epsilon_0$  be the Lebesgue number for the cover. Let  $0 < \delta < \epsilon_0$  and since X is totally bounded,  $\exists x_1, ..., x_n \in X$  with  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \delta)$ . Since  $\delta < \epsilon_0$ ,  $\exists \alpha_i \in I$  with  $B(x_i, \delta) \subset U_{\alpha_i}$  and hence  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ .

**Exercise 0.8.** If  $(X, d_X)$  is a c.m.s. and  $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$  is continuous, 1-1 and onto, then  $f^{-1}$  is also continuous.

Since  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$  it suffices to show that if  $U \subset X$  is open, then f(U) is open. Let  $U \subset X$  be open and  $F = U^c$  which we note is compact<sup>1</sup>. So f(F) is compact and also closed. Thus,  $f(U) = [f(F)]^c$  is open.

**Exercise 0.9.** A space (X, d) is a c.m.s. iff it is complete and totally bounded.

We already know the ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) direction so we prove the reverse. Let  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  and since X is totally bounded, take an infinite ball  $S_1 = B(y_1, 1)$  with radius 1 around some point  $y_1$  that covers an infinite number of terms in the sequence. Similarly, we can construct  $S_2 = B(y_2, \frac{1}{2})$  which contains an infinite number of terms in  $\{x_n\} \cap S_1$  for some point  $y_2$ .

Inductively we can construct  $\{S_k = B(y_k, \frac{1}{k})\}$  such that  $s_{k+1}$  has infinitely many terms in  $\{x_n\} \cap S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_k$ . This means that we could also choose a sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$  such that  $x_{n_k} \in S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_k$ . Since  $diam(S_k) \to 0$  and  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k, m \geq N$  then  $x_{n_m}, x_{n_k} \in S_N$ , then it follows that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  is Cauchy which by completeness, this sequence converges. Hence X is sequentially compact and therefore compact.

**Exercise 0.10.** If (X, d) is a c.m.s. and F is equicontinuous on X, then F is also uniformly equicontinuous on X.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\forall x_0 \in X$ , create a  $\delta_{x_0} > 0$  such that  $d(x, x_0) < \delta_{x_0} \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $f \in F$ . Then  $\{B(x_0, \delta_{x_0})\}_{x_0 \in X}$  with a Lebesgue number, say  $\delta_1 > 0$ . Let  $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$  and note that for any  $y \in X$ , there is some  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $B(y, \delta_0) \subset B(x_0, \delta_{x_0})$ . If  $y, z \in X$  with  $z \in B(y, \delta_0)$  then

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \le |f(y) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_0) - f(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

 $<sup>^1</sup> Closed \ subsets \ of \ a \ compact \ set \ are \ compact.$ 

Summary. The following are results that were commented on during the tutorial on finite dimensional (f.d.) n.l.s.. Let  $\Gamma(\vec{a}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{w}$  for a basis  $\vec{w}$  of our n.l.s. W.

1+2+3)  $U \subset W$  is open/closed/bounded  $\iff \Gamma^{-1}(U)$  is open/closed/bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

- 4) Heine-Borel compactness of  $A \subset W$  in a n.l.s.  $\iff A$  is closed and bounded
- 5)  $w_n \to w_0 \iff \Gamma^{-1}(w_n) \to \Gamma^{-1}(w_0)$
- 6)  $\{w_n\}$  Cauchy in  $W \iff \{\Gamma^{-1}(w_n)\}$  Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}^n \implies (W, \|\cdot\|_W)$  is always complete
- 7)  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$  is a n.l.s. and  $W \subset V$  is a f.d. n.l.s.  $\implies W$  is closed and nowhere dense in V
- 8) If  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space and  $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a basis, then I is uncountable.

## **Review of Concepts and Select Topics**

#### **Cauchy Sequences**

- If any subsequence of a Cauchy sequence converges, the whole sequence converges
- All Cauchy sequences in a complete space converge
- If a sequence of elements in a sequence space is Cauchy, then each of its component sequences is Cauchy

### **Uniform Convergence**

• If a sequence of continuous functions converges uniformly, then its limit is also continuous

#### Inequalities

• Holder's Inequality:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i b_i| \le (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ 

### **Sequence Spaces**

•  $l_1 \subset l_2 \subset \ldots \subset l_p \subset \ldots \subset l_\infty$ 

## Completeness

• A subset of a complete set is is complete in the induced metric if it is closed